UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,351	08/07/2006	Donald T. Moir	2709.2008-003	1426
21005 7590 04/04/2008 HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			EXAMINER	
			ZAREK, PAUL E	
P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/542,351	MOIR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PAUL ZAREK	4161			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	- action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me					
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending	g in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• , ,				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election requir	ement.			
Application Papers	·				
· · · <u> </u>					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Evaminar			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	• , ,		-D 4 404(4)		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form P i	0-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)	Ω □	(DTO 442)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-8,12,13,15-17,19,21,22,24,26,27,29,31,32,34,37-39,41,42,44,46,48,49 and 51.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1-8,12,13,15-17,19,21,22,24,26,27,29,31,32,34,37-39,41,42,44,46,48,49 and 51.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,351 Page 2

Art Unit: 4161

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 9-11, 14, 18, 20, 23, 25, 28, 30, 33, 35-36, 40, 43, 45, 47, 50 and 52 have been canceled in a preliminary amendment filed on 7-14-05. Claims 1-8, 12-13, 15-17, 19, 21-22, 24, 26-27, 29, 31-32, 34, 37-39, 41-42, 44, 46, 48-49 and 51 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 21, 22, 24, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 34, 37-39, 41, 42, 44, 46, 48, and 49, drawn to a compound.

Group II, claim(s) 51, drawn to a compound distinct from those listed in Group I Group III, claim(s) 1-8, 12, 13, 15-17, and 19, drawn to a method of treating bacterial infection.

- 3. The inventions listed as Groups I, II, and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the prior art (Krauze et al., *Euro J Med Chem*, 2005, fig. 1, compound 4) teaches the compound in Claim 21.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

If Applicant elects Group I, a species election is required in which the Applicant specifies the constituents R1, R2, X1 and X2.

If Applicant elects Group II, a species election is required in which the Applicant specifies the constituents R1, R2, X3, X4, and Z.

If Applicant elects Group III, a species election is required in which the Applicant specifies the constituents R1, R2, and R3 (for compound listed in Claim 1 compound i) or R1, R2, X1 and X2 (for compound listed in Claim 1 compound ii). The Applicant is further required to elect a specific *fabl*-expressing bacterial infection to be treated (Claims 3 and 4).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Group I: Claims 22, 24, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 34, 37, 39, 41, 42, 44, 46, 48, and 49

Group III: Claims 4-8, 12, 13, 15-17, and 19

Application/Control Number: 10/542,351 Page 4

Art Unit: 4161

The following claim(s) are generic:

Group I: Claims 21 and 38

Group II: Claim 51

Group III: Claims 1-3.

6. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the prior art (Krauze et al.) teaches the compound in Claims 21 and 38, thereby removing any special technical feature linking the species.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are

subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 5

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL ZAREK whose telephone number is (571)270-5754. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,351 Page 6

Art Unit: 4161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, PATRICK NOLAN can be reached on 571-272-0847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PEZ

/Patrick J. Nolan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4161